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ABSTRACT • The study is focused on behavioural aspects in the financial decision-making process of wood-processing enterprises. The main aim was to map this topic and determine the key behavioural factors that lead management to make mistakes. Primary data on this issue were obtained from an empirical survey. The empirical survey was conducted through a questionnaire that contains questions focused on behavioural decision-making aspects. Using statistical methods, three key behavioural factors were determined. By selecting the given behavioural factors, it was established that love, hate, and sadness are the key factors that influence management behaviour and decision-making. In the real business environment, two managers working in a wood processing enterprise were chosen; they were willing to provide us with a review and opinion on the results of the survey. By analysing all the data, it has been concluded that, even though managers are trying to direct their behaviour and activities, they often do not notice the influence of these factors, and sometimes they are unable to make decisions. The managers should be able to direct their behaviour and activities, to provide self-control and take into consideration the fact that these factors are always present. Results determine the key and systematically occurring errors in the financial decision-making process, caused by the influence of the human factor. We have developed a model for activating the three key behavioural factors applied in the financial decision-making process as a tool that can help company managers not to make the wrong decisions.
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SAŽETAK • U ovom su radu prezentirani načini ponašanja menadžera drvoprerađivačkih poduzeća pri donošenju financijskih odluka. Glavni cilj istraživanja bio je mapirati i odrediti ključne čimbenike ponašanja koji navode menadžere na pogrešne odluke. Primarni podatci za ovo istraživanje prikupljeni su empirijskim anketiranjem koje je provedeno upitnikom s pitanjima vezanim za aspekte ponašanja pri donošenju odluka. Primjenom statističkih metoda određena su tri ključna čimbenika ponašanja. Odabirom danih obilježja ponašanja utvrdili smo da su ljubav, mržnja i tuga ključne odrednice koje utječu na menadžere pri donošenju odluka. Izabrana su dva menadžera
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1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Theoretical fundamentals

Traditional finance is based on neoclassical economy. It is assumed that individuals are against risk, have perfect rationality and focus on maximizing the function of personal benefits. Therefore, efficient markets arise. Behavioural financing notes that individuals can sometimes have risky behaviours (FAW, 2018). The traditional theory of corporate finance shares several features with neoclassical financial economics. The manager is focused on maximizing shareholder wealth (Shefrin, 2001). Neoclassical financing theories rely on the skills and motivation of managers themselves, while they behaviourally monitor the behaviour of markets, not just individuals (Ross, 2004). Traditional financing monitors the behaviour of individuals - rational managers, investors and market participants. This leads to markets where prices reflect all available relevant information (Sedliačiková et al., 2015).

Behavioural finance recognizes that the way information is presented to participants has a major impact on decision-making and can lead to emotional and cognitive biases. As decisions are not always optimal, this leads to markets that are temporarily or permanently inefficient (FAW, 2018). Proper economic decision-making of individuals is influenced by limited human rationality, social priorities, and a lack of human self-control (Thaler and Sunstein, 2008). Behavioural economics is known as a combination of psychology and economics for understanding finance (Laibson, 2009). Behavioural finance is the result of the structure of three different sciences (Ricciardi and Simon, 2000):
- psychology as a science that analyses the processes of behaviour and thinking, such as the processes of physical, mental and external environment of a person,
- finance as a system of creating and using resources,
- sociology as a science of the social behaviour of a person or a group of people.

Behavioural theories assume that the behaviour of an individual or group is influenced by previous learning. It is about acquiring certain forms of behaviour (Verešová et al., 2014). Behavioural finance is associated with psychological and sociological factors that influence the decisions of financial entities (Shefrin, 2001). Sewell (2011) explains behavioural finance as the influence of psychology on the behaviour of financial professionals, who subsequently have an impact on the market itself. Kahneman and Tversky (1979) noted that the behaviour of an individual in theory differs from practice, and classical financial models cannot explain or predict all financial decisions. Economic rationality in behavioural finance is criticized. Behavioural finance became popular after 2002, when Kahneman (2002) won the Nobel Prize in Economics for the behavioural theory, where he integrated knowledge from psychological research in the field of economics that
focused on human behaviour and analysis of the human judgment. Behavioural finance is based on research into human and social recognition and the study of emotional tolerances. It seeks to identify and understand economic decisions. Classical financial behaviour is limited by human rationality, behaviourally explaining the psychological effect on financial markets and activities. It claims that financial phenomena are better explained due to the fact that financial market participants are not rational and their decisions are not limited (Bikas et al., 2013). Behavioural finance is a type of modern financial theory that states that investors and other interest groups and financial market participants do not behave rationally. There is also the influence of a lot of information on decision-making (Thaler and Sunstein, 2008). Thaler and Sunstein (2008) say that people create financial evaluations using internal calculations and formulas. Not only are people irrational, but this irrationality can sometimes be predicted in advance. Doing business properly and behaving economically depends on people. Behavioural finance is the study of how the phenomenon of psychology affects financial behaviour (Shefrin, 2005). An essential part of behavioural finance is to observe how classical financing and behavioural financing differ in rationality. Decision-making is not only dependent on a careful evaluation of information or statistics, but also on rational decisions. It is a matter of shortening the process of making one’s own decision, but only at the expense of less effort in making mathematical or statistical calculations (Lehrer, 2011).

According to the Baker et al. (2004), there are two different approaches to finance:
- irrational managers and an efficient/productive market,
- limited rationality - irrational investors and rational managers.

The first approach - irrational managers - focuses on the analysis of the irrational behaviour of managers in the context of an efficient financial market® excessively optimistic (Fairchild, 2010). Weinstein (1980) describes optimism as people’s belief that they are more likely to experience future positive life events and less likely to experience negative situations. In terms of managerial decisions, these decisions play against shareholders/investors. These are intentional and unintentional decisions that reduce value. These are the decisions that intentionally reduce the value of the company, in which managers try to bypass shareholders, and this leads to conflicts that can be resolved by adjusting incentives. However, these decisions do not arise due to different interests between shareholders and managers. These are the consequences of managers’ mistakes. These mistakes occur due to psychological aspects and should be preceded by training in the area of management and education (Fairchild, 2010).

The approach of irrational managers is currently less developed. It assumes that the managers’ behaviour is defined, but preserves the rationality of investors even if they limit the management mechanisms that they can use to restrict managers. It monitors prejudices of optimism and overconfidence. The simple model shows prejudices of senior managers who believe that their companies are underestimated, encourage overinvestment from internal sources and show preferences for internal and external financing, especially by internal capital. As in the case of investor irrationality, the real economic losses associated with managerial irrationality have yet to be quantified but are significant (Baker et al., 2007).

By irrational managerial behaviour, we mean behaviour that deviates from rational expectations and expected maximization of utility value. The manager believes that he is actually close to maximizing the fixed value. In order to have fewer rational managers, corporate management must limit their ability to make rational decisions (Eckbo, 2007).

In regard to the financial policy, an optimistic manager never sells shares unless he has to. If there is an upper limit of the leverage effect (e.g. greater than zero), optimism predicts “accumulation”. Financing decisions: the manager relies on internal capital and debt but uses only his own resources. This is his last chance (Baker et al., 2007).

The second approach – irrational investors – focuses on situations when investors are systematically irrational and rationally well-informed thanks to managers. This approach assumes that managers will be able to distinguish between price and intrinsic value (Baker et al., 2004). According to Graham and Harvey (2001), price represents what investors pay and intrinsic value is what they get. We are talking about the rational goals of managers in irrational markets. Rational managers maintain a balance between the three goals. These are market timing, supply and an increase of the intrinsic value. Market timing refers to decisions aimed at the proper valuation of, for example, the issue of overvalued or repurchased undervalued shares. Supply refers to decisions aimed at rising stock prices above intrinsic value. Increasing intrinsic value is a clear matter - it is about increasing the market value of the company (Fairchild, 2010).

The possibility of learning from mistakes or experience is characteristic for behavioural financing. Managers or other executives are people who may be influenced by their own optimism and overestimation of certain future events. It is well known that self-confidence can lead to mistakes in decision making and overconfident managers are able to underestimate the risk of future results (Baker and Nofsinger, 2010).

The Slovak Republic is relatively independent of importing the natural resources, being built on a domestic resource base of sustainable character. Therefore, it can permanently show an active balance of foreign trade. Regarding the positive situation related to natural resources, their suitable geographic location, and their acceptable energetic demands for processing wood, the wood-processing industry represents an important field of industry for the Slovak national economy, thus enabling further development of small and medium enterprises (Hajdúchová et al., 2016). Wood-processing industry (WPI) is composed of the wood,
furniture, and pulp and paper industries. These are based on processing wood, i.e. domestic ecological resource (Halaj et al., 2018).

The wood-processing industry can positively assess the development of labour productivity. It is the most significant in the pulp and paper industry, where long-term growth above the average of industrial production is recorded, especially in periods with high inflows of foreign direct investment into the mentioned sector (Merková et al., 2011). However, the wood-processing industry of Slovakia notes no innovation development focused on the increase of the competitiveness of production and efficiency, and without providing financial resources needed to implement innovative plans, it can expect a significant decrease in the competitiveness and long-term recession (Merková and Drábek, 2010). The share of R&D capacities is gradually reduced, and thus it fails to engage the capacities into innovative projects (Merková et al., 2012). The bioeconomy strategy, launched by the European Commission, and the transition to a stronger, circular and low-carbon economy have posed new actions and requirements towards a greater and more sustainable use of natural resources by sustainably increasing the primary production and conversion of waste into value-added products, enhanced production and resource efficiency (Antov et al., 2020).

1.2 Objectives and hypotheses

The aim of the work was to develop a model of key behavioural factors that cause errors in the financial decision-making of managers in wood-processing enterprises. The essence was to determine the key, systematically occurring errors in the financial decision-making process, caused by the influence of the human factor, as a starting point for preventing wrong financial decisions.

The main hypothesis can be defined as follows: We assume that the model of key behavioural aspects will be suitable for identifying the main causes of differences in decision-making in neoclassical and behavioural financing, and will serve as a starting point for preventing wrong financial decisions.

We have added five partial hypotheses to the main hypothesis, which are as follows:

$H_1$: We assume that managers do not observe their decision-making behaviour and are unable to proceed with the self-control in thinking.

Richard Thaler (2005) studied the behaviour of people and found out that people do things that should not happen when making decisions in classical economics. He wanted to find explanations and wrote a study called Dump Stuff People Do. He was also inspired by Kahneman and Tversky (1979), and so he became one of the fathers of behavioural economics (Záborský, 2017).

$H_2$: We assume that human behaviour is important in evaluating economic decisions.

Adam Smith (2012) claimed this idea and described it in the work Theory of Moral Sentiments. Behavioural financing is the subject of many empirical observations, and the author’s effort was to explain the deviations of behavioural from rational decision-making.

$H_3$: We assume that people are rational and their thinking is reasonable. Emotions such as fear, love or hate are a source of cases when people change their behaviour and deviate from rationality.

Tversky and Kahneman (1974) described systematic errors in the thinking of normal people and at the same time analysed the origin of such errors in the mechanism of cognition. Thus, they found that emotional and psychological factors are a source of change in the behaviour of people, but only when it comes to borderline situations of decision-making and understanding of something unknown. In this case, we can also apply it to the target decision subjects, i.e. managers of enterprises.

$H_4$: We assume that the key factors that most influence the managers in decision-making are knowledge and experience as a cognitive factor, certainty as psychological and happiness as an emotional factor.

Standard economic theory is designed to offer mathematically set solutions and to perceive man as an economically rational subject. They are based on idealized financial behaviour. Behavioural finance, in turn, attempts to imitate the phenomenon of the human psyche and is based on observed behaviour (Pompián, 2006).

Akerlof and Shiller (2010) argue that behavioural finance has emerged as a new trend in economics that focuses on the economic aspects of deviations from the rational behaviour of subjects, especially the impact of cognitive distortions, psychological and emotional states of the subject. The key factors of human behaviour that influence decision making are:

- Emotional: love, hate, sadness, happiness, helplessness, panic, depression, despair, anxiety.
- Cognitive: knowledge, learning, ability to concentrate attention, ability to know, logical thinking, human character, short-term and long-term memory processes.
- Psychological: power, safety, security, personality, shame, self-esteem, freedom, self-realization, friendship, health, attractiveness.

$H_5$: We assume that the model of identified key psychological, cognitive and emotional factors will become a tool for correct decision-making of company managers.

The hypothesis can be proved because a comprehensive theoretical analysis followed the issue of behavioural aspects of financial decision-making of company managers and clearly showed that the manager thinks rationally if he does not take into account the state in which he is at the moment of making the decision.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2. MATERIJALI I METODE

The schedule in Table 1 presents the methodology of the whole research, describing interconnections and sequence of goals, hypotheses, research methods and ways of testing.
Table 1 Connection and continuity of objectives and hypotheses of the work
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Way of testing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cilj</td>
<td>Hipoteza</td>
<td>Metoda</td>
<td>Način testiranja</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1: Data collection in the first part of the work is used to examine the current state of the issue at home and abroad with the focus on behavioural aspects of financial decision-making of company managers, concretization of differences between neoclassical and behavioural financing and definition of financial management tasks.</td>
<td>Pretpostavka je da su menadžeri ne obraćaju pozornost na svoje ponašanje pri donošenju odluka i da ne mogu zadržati samokontrolu u razmišljanju.</td>
<td>Questionnaire (question number 10) upitnik (pitanje broj 10)</td>
<td>Descriptive and graphical methods opisne i grafičke metode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2: Based on an empirical survey conducted in the form of a questionnaire in the practice of Slovak enterprises, analyse the issue of cognitive, psychological and emotional influences on financial decision-making of managers.</td>
<td>We assume that human behaviour is important in evaluating economic decisions.</td>
<td>Questionnaire (question number 11) upitnik (pitanje broj 11)</td>
<td>Descriptive and graphical methods opisne i grafičke metode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3: Thanks to empirical research conducted through Questionnaires, evaluate and examine the effects of behavioural factors on the thinking of company managers and their influence in decision-making through mathematical and statistical methods.</td>
<td>We assume that the key factors that most influence the managers in decision-making are knowledge as a cognitive factor, certainty as psychological and happiness as an emotional factor.</td>
<td>Questionnaire (question number 7) upitnik (pitanje broj 7)</td>
<td>Contingency tables, Pearson’s chi-square test, Cramer’s V and Pearson’s contingency coefficient C, tablica predvidivosti, Pearsonov χ2-test, Cramerov V koeficijent i Pearsonov koeficijent predvidivosti C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O4: Identify the key, systematically appearing errors in financial decision-making of managers due to the impacts of human factors.</td>
<td>We assume that the key factors that most influence the managers in decision-making are knowledge as a cognitive factor, certainty as psychological and happiness as an emotional factor.</td>
<td>Questionnaire (question number 9) upitnik (pitanje broj 9)</td>
<td>Descriptive and graphical methods opisne i grafičke metode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O5: Develop a comprehensive model of systematically occurring errors in decision-making of company managers, which will serve as a tool for correct decision-making</td>
<td>We assume that the model of identified key psychological, cognitive and emotional factors will become a tool for correct decision-making of company managers.</td>
<td>Questionnaire (question number 1) upitnik (pitanje broj 1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.1 Research sample

The basic set was made of 2549 enterprises from the wood-processing industry – according to the classification SK NACE. The enterprises were from the following sectors: 16 – Manufacture of wood and wood products, 17 – Manufacture of paper and paper products; and 31 – Manufacture of furniture. The questionnaire survey was conducted during January and February 2020. We determined the size of the research sample on the basis of a mathematical relation. In our case, the research sample or sample size will represent the minimum number of respondents who were included in the research.

\[ n \geq \frac{z^2 \cdot p \cdot q}{\Delta^2} \] (1)

The variable \( n \) in the formula represents the minimum number of respondents; the variable \( z \) represents the reliability coefficient; the variable \( p \) and \( q \) represent the percentage numbers of addressed respondents. These two values express the number of those respondents who understand or do not understand the issue (Kozel et al., 2006).

The research methodology offers several ways of compiling a sample. We have chosen a purely random selection. The set of respondents had to be divided into two halves. The distribution of the set of respondents is related to the variables \( p \) and \( q \). The product of their values must be maximal (50 %: 50 %). The variable \( \Delta \) represents a value that means the maximum acceptable error (Kozel et al., 2006).

To reach higher reliability of the research, at the level of 95.4 %, we decided to state the value of the variable \( z=2 \). The value of the maximum error to identify the representativeness of the sample was set to 5 % (Kozel et al., 2006).

\[ n = \frac{2^2 \cdot 0.5 \cdot 0.5}{0.05^2} = 400 \] (2)

Sample size should be represented by at least 400 respondents (Kozel et al., 2006). 403 respondents participated in the questionnaire survey. The total number of addressed respondents was 2549. Thus, the return rate of the questionnaires was 15.81 %, which presented 403 completed questionnaires from the enterprises in the field of wood-processing industry.

2.2 Research questions

The research questionnaire consisted of 11 questions. This paper presents the research methodology and solution conclusions focused on two main and three complementary questions from the questionnaire. We also defined the types of questions used in the survey, because the type of question is important for choosing the statistical method.

Question 4: the objective was to select subjects in terms of their position within the enterprise. This question became the basis for the compilation of the questionnaire. Question 4 was close-ended, selective, polytomous, with the possibility of choosing one of three variants: manager, employee, owner.

We focused on the structure of the research sample. Question 4 is significant to determine a model of key behavioural aspects in the financial decision-making process. Given that, the work is focused on the issue of behavioural factors from the perspective of managers of wood-processing enterprises. This question helped us to select the subjects and then focus only on them.

Research question 7 was focused on determining the key behavioural factors that have a decisive influence on the change of managers’ behaviour and, due to these changes, can also cause wrong decisions.

Question 7: Which of the following cognitive, psychological and emotional factors most influence the rational behaviour of managers in decision-making?

Question 4 is significant to determine a model of key behavioural factors in the financial decision-making process. Given that, the work is focused on the issue of behavioural factors from the perspective of managers of wood-processing enterprises. This question helped us to select the subjects and then focus only on them.

Research question 7 was focused on determining the key behavioural factors that have a decisive influence on the change of managers’ behaviour and, due to these changes, can also cause wrong decisions.

Question 7: Which of the following cognitive, psychological and emotional factors most influence the rational behaviour of managers in decision-making?

Question 7 was closed-ended, named, polytomous, with a choice of several variants – behavioural factors.

Three categories of behavioural factors were mentioned in this question - cognitive, psychological and emotional. We have selected a few examples for each category. In this question, it was necessary to follow the degree of dependence between the job position of respondents and the given categories of factors. Thus, individual behavioural factors became the second variable for the statistical determination of dependence.

Complementary questions were the following:

Question 9: In the case of borderline (unknown) decision-making situations, emotions such as fear, love or hate are a source of change in my behaviour.

Question 10: I control my behaviour in decision-making so that self-control is present in thinking.

Question 11: I think that my behaviour is one of the important aspects in explaining economic decisions.

Bipolar Likert scaling method was used in the above questions 9, 10 and 11 and the format of a typical five-level Likert item was applied (Likert, 1932):

- Strongly disagree
- Disagree
- Neither agree nor disagree
- Agree
- Strongly agree

Using statistical methods, we wanted to point out significant dependencies between:

- Job position (question 4 in the first part),
- Behavioural factors (question 7a, 7b, 7c in the second part of the questionnaire).

2.3 Research methods

The following selected statistical methods were applied in the research:

- two-dimensional classification of the statistical file based on categorical characters - contingency tables,
- dependence of categorical features - Pearson’s chi-square test and degree of dependence - Cramer’s V and Pearson’s contingency coefficient C.
We subjected the obtained primary data to mathematical-statistical analysis, where the results of the questionnaire survey were transformed into a contingency table in the text editor Microsoft Excel. We filtered the question related to the job position and the question about behavioural factors into the table. Using the statistical software STATISTICA 10, we examined the contingency and association of individual items. Pacáková et al. (2009) promote the application of Pearson’s chi-square test at a significance level of 5% for contingency and association research, and the application of Cramer’s V and Pearson’s contingency coefficient C for associations.

Association presents a dependence between two alternative categorical characters, where contingency is a dependence between two categorical characters, of which at least one character has more than two variations (Pacáková et al., 2009). When verifying the dependence/independence of the two qualitative characters, in this case the job position and individual behavioural factors, we had to get the data from the two-dimensional sample set in a contingency table. The association of categorical characters was tested using the Pearson’s chi-square test and the degree of dependence using the Cramer’s V and Pearson’s contingency coefficient C.

The Pearson’s chi-square (c2) test is a universal test for discrete and continuous distribution functions with a sufficiently large range (n). Pearson’s chi square or good fit test is based on a frequency table. This frequency table tests the null hypothesis (Budíková et al., 2010). The comparison of actually found and theoretical numbers is the basic idea of Pearson’s chi-square good fit test. The null hypothesis compared to the alternative hypothesis can be verified by a testing characteristic. It is valid (Pacáková et al., 2009):

- $H_0$: there is no dependence between two categorical characters,
- $H_1$: there is a dependence between two categorical characters (there is contingency).

If the p-value is lower than the significance level of 5%, we reject $H_0$ and accept $H_1$, and we speak of a statistically significant dependence i.e. contingency and association. We consider the statistical significance and the results are applicable. If the p-value is equal to or higher than 5%, $H_0$ cannot be rejected. The difference between the observed and expected frequencies is the cause of random selection, and we do not speak about statistical significance between the characters (Pacáková et al., 2009).

Cramer’s V and Pearson’s contingency coefficients C indicate the degree of dependence. The coefficient takes values between 0 and 1. The closer it is to 1, the stronger is the dependence between the two characters. On the contrary, the closer it is to 0, the weaker is the dependence (Schmidtová and Vacek, 2013). The significance of the values of the Cramer’s coefficient is defined by a scale: between 0 and 0.1 - negligible dependence, between 0.1 and 0.3 - weak dependence, between 0.3 and 0.7 - medium dependence, between 0.7 and 1 - strong dependence (Pacáková et al., 2009).

### 3 RESULTS

#### 3.1 Findings from statistical analysis

We tested 27 behavioural factors that influence decision making:

- Emotional: love, hate, sadness, happiness, helplessness, panic, depression, despair, anxiety.
- Cognitive: knowledge, skills, ability to concentrate attention, ability to know, logical thinking, human character, short-term and long-term memory processes.
- Psychological: power, safety, security, personality, shame, self-esteem, freedom, self-realization, friendship, health, attractiveness.

Through Pearson’s chi-square test, statistical dependences of two variables were found. By selecting individual dependencies, 11 factors that are statistically dependent on the job position were determined. Furthermore, the degree of dependence was followed through the Cramer’s V and Pearson’s contingency coefficient C. Here we examined how the dependence manifests itself, whether the results are applicable and whether they are statistically significant, and determined dependence between factors. Our selection narrowed down to 4 factors: the ability to concentrate attention, love, hate, sadness. At the same time, it can be stated that these factors and the position of the manager in the company are key factors for changing behaviour. The table of residual frequencies showed that the manager is influenced by these four factors. One factor is from the category of cognitive factors and others from the category of emotional factors (Table 2).

Dependence of job position and influence of behavioural factors on financial decision-making process (O4 and O7a - ability to concentrate attention) (Table 3): We reject the $H_0$ with the risk of the 5%-error that there is no dependence between the influence of behavioural factors on the job position and we accept the $H_1$. The reliability of our decision to reject $H_0$ is confirmed by the fulfillment of the condition of good approximation. The approximation can be used when missing information makes it impossible to obtain an accurate result. The value of Cramer’s V at the level of 0.5894 represents a medium dependence between the job position of the respondents and the influence of behavioural factors on the financial decision-making process. Furthermore, it can be stated that the ability to concentrate attention influences the behaviour of individual subjects and can also lead to wrong decisions. From the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2 Residual frequencies (ability to concentrate attention)</th>
<th>Tablica 2. Frekvencije osipanja (mogućnost koncentracije)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Observed minus expected frequencies</strong></td>
<td><strong>Dobivene manje očekivane frekvencije</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Manager</strong></td>
<td><strong>Owner</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menadžer</td>
<td>Vlasnik</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manager</td>
<td>Owner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vlasnik</td>
<td>Zaposlenik</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-5.51613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-5.51613</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
residual frequencies for the respective factor it results that, while the manager is not influenced by the ability to concentrate attention, the owner and employee are.

Dependence of job position and influence of love on financial decision-making process (O4 and O7c): At the significance level of 5 %, $H_0$ can be rejected even with this factor and the alternative hypothesis $H_1$ can be accepted. The value of Cramer’s $V$ is 0.2114, which represents a weak dependence between the job position of respondents and the influence of love on the financial decision-making process (Table 4). There is also statistical significance between the two variables. Residual values show that, while a manager assumes the effect of love on a change in his behaviour, employees and owners are not influenced by this behavioural factor.

Dependence of job position and influence of hate on financial decision-making process (O4 and O7c): At the level of 5 % significance, $H_0$ with this factor can also be rejected, where the basis was to keep the condition of good approximation since the value of Pearson’s chi-square is 0.02797 (Table 5). In this way, it can be said that there is dependence and thus the influence of hate as an emotional factor affects the job position of the addressed subjects. The important thing is that through residual frequencies, it was established that the manager is influenced by hate in decision-making and that it changes his behaviour, which is not the case with the owner and employee. While the manager is affected by hate, other subjects are not.

Dependence of job position and influence of sadness on financial decision-making process (O4 and O7c): In examining behavioural factors, it was observed that sadness is the last factor that influences the behaviour of subjects in different job positions (Table 6). This factor is one of the emotional behavioural factors. Based on the expected frequencies, it can be concluded that there is also statistical significance between the variables and that the results are applicable (Table 7). It can also be determined that this factor affects the behaviour of a particular subject - the company manager. This can be seen through the table of residual frequencies. While the manager is affected by sadness, the employee and company owner are not.

To understand the whole research and model construction and the answers to all the hypotheses given in the conclusion, some other results can be added. At the same time, however, we decided to omit the results of other questions with no statistically significant result, where the analysis did not lead to essential conclusions.
The statement in question 9 argued that, in the case of unknown decision-making situations, emotions such as fear, love or hate are a source of change in behaviour. 58.1 % (219 managers) strongly agree with the statement and 17.2 % (65 managers) agree. It follows that love, fear and hate are the reason for most managers to change their action and behaviour. 58.1 % (219 managers) strongly agree with the statement and 17.2 % (65 managers) agree with this statement. 0.5 % (2 managers), who are clearly unable to guide their behaviour, did not agree. 11.2 % of managers are partially affected, but they agree with the statement. 0.5 % (2 managers), who are clearly unable to guide their behaviour, did not agree. Hypothesis $H_4$ was linked to this question. We assumed that people are rational and their decisions, is connected to hypothesis $H_4$. Human behaviour varies depending on several factors. Behavioural factors have been mentioned above. These are largely a source of behaviour change. They can change the expected results and, of course, they are also a source of wrong decisions. Following the results related to the statement, 78.8 % (297 managers) strongly agree with this statement. This percentage of respondents is aware of changes in behaviour as well as of the possibility of deviations and errors that occur in financial decisions.

### 3.2 Model concept

3.2. Koncept modela

Based on the presented results of mathematical-statistical research, we developed a model for determining the key behavioural factors that cause errors in the financial decision-making process of company managers (Figure 1). Then, we applied the first model to the second model of systematically occurring decision-making errors of managers for the purpose of eliminating wrong decisions. By connecting the two models (presented in Figure 1), we obtained a tool that can eliminate wrong decisions of company managers.

### 4 DISCUSSION

4. RASPRAVA

By directed statements, we aimed to point out that behavioural aspects can cause significant devia-
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**Figure 1** Model of actuation of three key behavioural factors and their application in decision-making process (Source: authors)

**Slika 1.** Model s tri ključna čimbenika ponašanja i njihov utjecaj pri donošenju odluka (izvor: autori)
tions in the behaviour of managers and thus bring inaccurate to erroneous results in their decisions. Our findings focused on factors of behavioural finance, which are related to investment influence in decision-making in wood processing industry. We have discussed this topic with other authors (Dolan, 2002; Franco and Sanches, 2016; Virlics, 2013), also including developing countries (Asab et al., 2014). Baláz (2009) provided many incentives for discussion and questions about the essence, meaningfulness and topicality of behavioural economics. In the second statement, it is clear that intuition influences managers in the decision-making process when considering most of the answers. Nevertheless, if they have enough time to make decisions and are unsure of the outcome, they will rather rely on quantitative and analytical methods. However, the use of one’s intuition is not refuted. Over the past several decades, evidence has shown that financial decision-making in the economy at all levels often departs from the predictions of models of rational information-processing (Frydman and Camerer, 2016). More than half of the managers stated that decisions based on their intuition brought profit-maximizing results. Managers also agree that, in the case of clear and well-structured problems, they can omit some steps in the decision-making process. In this case, we can make it clear that managers rely on their intuition. However, it is not clear to what extent and specifically which behavioural factors are the source of change in their behaviour. Due to this fact, we included specific behavioural factors divided into three groups among the statements. Thus each manager had the opportunity to choose the factors that most influence him when making decisions.

Following the previous statement, a question concerning the style of decision-making was included in the second part of the questionnaire. In the context of the sophistication of financial relationships, investment alternatives and risk, the human factor has become increasingly important in investor decision-making (Hitka et al., 2019; Lorincová et al., 2019; Wang and Ruhe, 2007). More than half of the managers reported a behavioural style of decision-making; however, each of the four options contained an answer. The ninth statement focused specifically on three categories of behavioural factors. It was fear, love and hate. This statement was supported by the hypothesis: Behavioural factors affect business decision making (Merigo, 2015; Tur-Porcar et al., 2018). Results of Shu et al., (2016) identify bereavement as an underexplored life experience that can significantly influence investors’ performance and behaviour. From our research, it can be concluded that love and hate are decisive factors in changing behaviour. This has also been confirmed on the basis of the statistical dependence addressed in question 7.

The last two statements were aimed at manager’s self-control in thinking and perception of behaviour. Both statements are linked and the managers are aware of their actions. They agreed that their behaviour influences economic decisions and try to prevent wrong decisions by applying self-control. Functional freedom depends on three compensatory dimensions: it is greatest when the decision-maker is highly rational, when the structure of the decision is highly underdetermined, and when the decision process is strongly based on conscious thought and reflection (Lau and Hiemisch, 2017).

Based on the findings and benefits of the issue, we recommend further examination of behavioural factors and their impact on the financial decision-making process of company managers. As this issue is current and interesting, we think that our results will be applicable to further research in the use of specific behavioural factors and their impact. We believe that our results can influence the perception of managers of wood processing enterprises and their future direction in the area of decision-making. We are convinced that thanks to the implemented empirical research, most managers will try to change their behaviour and think about their decisions.

5 CONCLUSIONS

5. ZAKLJUČAK

Decisions based on hypotheses resulting from the mathematical-statistical research in the wood processing industry are as follows:

We reject hypothesis $H_1$. Research shows that 87% of managers are able to direct their behaviour and actions providing self-control in thinking.

Hypothesis $H_2$ was confirmed. We can assume that managers are aware of their behaviour and perceive the changes resulting from financial decisions.

We can confirm the validity of hypothesis $H_3$. In the case of unknown decision-making situations, emotions such as fear, love or hate are a source of behavioural change. Two behavioural factors - love and hate, which are the source of change in the decisions of company managers, have been confirmed.

We reject hypothesis $H_4$. We could determine three key behavioural factors - love, hate and sadness, which affect the financial decision-making process of managers in the wood-processing enterprises.
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