

James H. Muehl, Andrzej M. Krzysik

# Effect of Resin and Wax on Mechanical and Physical Properties of Harboard From Air-Laid Mats

## Ujtečaj smole i voska na mehanička i fizikalna svojstva tvrdih vlaknatica proizvedenih suhim postupkom

*Original scientific paper • Izvorni znanstveni rad*

Received - primljeno: 11. 06. 1997. • Accepted - prihvaćeno: 08. 10. 1997.

UDK 630\*862.3

**ABSTRACT** • Wax has long been used to improve physical and dimensional stability properties of hardboard. However, the value of adding wax to a composite must also consider the level of resin used. In this study, two levels of resin (6.5 % and 11%) and three levels of wax (0%, 0.8%, and 1.6%) were applied to hemlock fiber. The fiber was converted into mats made on a nonwoven, airformed line. For all mats, the wood fiber was blended with 10% polyester fiber to provide strength. The mats were pressed into 3-mm-thick panels, cut into specimens, and tested for mechanical and physical properties. The results showed that increasing the levels of resin and wax had little effect on mechanical properties but did improve physical properties.

**Key words:** wood fiber, polyester fiber, composites, dry-process, harboard, phenolic resin, wax, dimensional stability, mechanical properties, physical properties

**SAŽETAK** • Vosak se već dugo koristi za poboljšanje fizičkih svojstava i dimenzijske stabilnosti tvrdih vlaknatica. Povoljnost dodavanja voska, međutim, treba razmatrati uzimajući u obzir i količinu upotrijebljene smole. U ovom ispitivanju dva su iznosa količine dodane smole (6.5 % i 11 %) kombinirana s tri vrijednosti dodatka voska (0 %, 0,8 % i 1,6 %) vlakancima čugovine (engl.

---

Authors are a forest products technologists and a research specialist, respectively, at the Forest Products Laboratory of the USDA Forest Service in Madison, WI, USA.

Autori su drveni tehnolog i viši istraživač u Forest Products Laboratory američke nacionalne uprave USDA Forest Service u Madisonu, WI, USA.



**Table 2.**  
*Effect of resin content on mechanical and physical properties of hardboards • Utjecaj sadržaja smole na mehanička i fizikalna svojstva vlaknatica*

Property Svojstvo	ANSI A135.4 tempered hardboard uljem obogaćena vlaknatica	83.5% hemlock fiber 6.5% phenolic resin 0% wax 1 0% polyester	79.0% hemlock fiber 11.0% phenolic resin 08% wax 10% polyester	Postotno učešće  - vlaknaca čugovine - fenolne smole  - voska - poliestera
Static bending MOR (MPa) Modul loma kod statičkog savijanja (MPa)	41.4	<u>49.8</u>	<u>53.5</u>	
Static bending MOE (GPa) Modul elastičnosti kod statičkog savijanja (GPa)	N/A	<u>4.85</u>	<u>4.95</u>	
Tensile strength (MPa) Vlačna čvrstoća (MPa)	20.7	26.9	31.2	
Tensile MOE (GPa) Vlačni modul elastičnosti (GPa)	N/A	<u>5.15</u>	<u>5.36</u>	
Impact energy (J) Energija kod udara (J)	N/A	34.8	29.6	
Thickness swell 24-h (%) Debljinsko bubrenje 24 h (%)	20	20.7	13.1	
Water absorption 24-h (%) Upijanje vode 24 h (%)	25	43.4	34.9	
Linear expansion (%) Linearno izduženje (%)				
30% RH (r.v.z.)	N/A	<u>0.17</u>	<u>0.18</u>	
65% RH (r.v.z.)	N/A	<u>0.37</u>	<u>0.38</u>	
90% RH (r.v.z.)	N/A	0.58	0.57	

a Values connected by solid line are not statistically different at 0.05 significance level.  
 a Vrijednosti spojene punom linijom nisu statistički signifikantno različite na nivou 0,05.

pansion test specimens were of the size specified in ASTM D1037. Length was measured at equilibrium at 30%, and 90% RH at 27 °C. Specimens were then oven-dried, and length was measured. Linear expansion values were calculated over the following ranges: oven-dry to 30% RH, oven-dry to 65% RH, and oven-dry to 90% RH.

**4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**  
**4. Rezultati i diskusija**

Mechanical and physical properties of composite panels are presented in Tables 2 and 3; data include results of multiple comparisons.

**Static Bending Properties**

**Effect of resin** - Panels made with 11 % resin had the higher bending MOR value (53.5 MPa); MOR was 49.8 MPa for panels made with 6.5% resin. A pattern similar to that found for MOR values was noted for bending MOE values; panels containing 11% resin exhibited slightly higher MOE. No statistically significant differences were observed for MOR and MOE bending

properties at either resin level.

The minimum required MOR value in the American National Standards Institute-American Hardboard Association (ANSI-AHA) A135.4 standard is 41.4 MPa for tempered hardboard (AHA 1995). In our study, all boards met this minimum property requirement.

**Effect of wax** - In general, no statistically significant differences were observed for both MOR and MOE bending properties at all tested wax levels.

**Tensile Strength Properties**

**Effect of resin** - For the panels with 11% resin, tensile strength was 31.2 MPa, 16% greater than the tensile strength of panels with 6.5% resin. Thus, tensile strength values were significantly different for these two formulations. In contrast, tensile modulus MOE values were very close to each other. Therefore, the higher resin level did not significantly influence tensile MOE values. The minimum tensile strength parallel to panel surface as specified in the ANSI-AHA standard is 20.7 MPa for tempered hardboard. Treatments at all resin and wax levels



Property Svojstvo	ANSI A135.4 tempered hardboard  uljem obogaće- na vlaknatica	79.0% hemlock fiber 11.0% phenolic resin 0% wax 10% polyester	78.2% hemlock fiber 11.0% pheno- lic resin 08% wax 10% polyester	77.4% helock fiber 11.0% pheno- lic resin 1.6% wax 10% polyester	Postotno učešće - vlaknaca čugovine - fenolne smole - voska - poliestera
Static bending MOR (MPa) Modul loma kod statičkog savijanja (MPa)	41.4	<u>53.5</u>	<u>51.7</u>	<u>50.7</u>	
Static bending MOE (GPa) Modul elastičnosti kod statičkog savijanja (GPa)	N/A	<u>4.95</u>	<u>5.98</u>	<u>4.75</u>	
Tensile strength (MPa) Vlačna čvrstoća (MPa)	20.7	<u>31.2</u>	<u>30.7</u>	<u>30.0</u>	
Tensile MOE (GPa) Vlačni modul elastičnosti (GPa)	N/A	<u>5.36</u>	<u>5.48</u>	<u>5.45</u>	
Impact energy (J) Energija kod udara (J)	N/A	29.6	<u>34.9</u>	<u>33.0</u>	
Thickness swell 24-h (%) Debljinsko bubrenje 24 h (%)	20	<u>13.1</u>	<u>12.6</u>	10.1	
Water absorption 24- h (%) Upijanje vode 24 h (%)	25	34.9	<u>24.1</u>	<u>23.4</u>	
Linear expansion (%) Linearno izduženje (%)					
0-30% RH (r.v.z.) <sup>c</sup>	N/A	<u>0.18</u>	<u>0.17</u>	0.21	
		..... (b) .....			
0-65% RH (r.v.z.)	N/A	<u>0.38</u>	<u>0.38</u>	<u>0.40</u>	
0-90% RH (r.v.z.)	N/A	<u>0.57</u>	<u>0.57</u>	<u>0.59</u>	

**Table 3.**  
*Effect of wax content on mechanical and physical properties of hardboards • Utjecaj sadržaja voska na mehanička i fizikalna svojstva vlaknatica*

a) Values connected by solid line are not statistically different ( $p = 0.05$ ).

b) The 0.18% and 0.21% linear expansion values are not statistically different.

a) Vrijednosti spojene punom linijom nisu statistički signifikantno različite ( $p = 0,05$ )

b) Vrijednosti lienarnog izduženja od 0,18% i 0,21% nisu statistički signifikantno različite.

c) r.v.z. = relativna vlažnost zraka

exceeded this minimum value.

**Effect of wax** - Tensile modulus MOE values were nearly equal for the three wax formulations; no significant differences were noted.

#### Impact Energy

**Effect of resin** - The 11% resin level produced statistically significant reduction in impact energy - this property was 15% below that of panels made with 6.5% resin. Clegg and Collyer (1986) suggest that the



nificantly influenced by the addition of wax, except for impact energy. On the other hand, wax improved water-soak properties significantly, depending on the level added.

4. Linear expansion was not affected by resin or wax level.

### Acknowledgments

#### Zahvala

The authors express their appreciation to Canadian Forest Products Ltd. for material and technical assistance.

### 6. REFERENCES

#### Literatura

1. AHA. 1995. American National Standards Institute. Basic hardboard, ANSI-AHA A135.4. American Hardboard Association, Palatine, IL.
2. ASTM. 1994. Standard methods of evaluating the properties of wood-base fiber and particle panel materials. Annual Book of ASTM Standards, vol. 04.09, ASTM D1037-94. American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA.
3. Clad, D.W. 1985. Trial manufacture of lightweight particleboard with improved swelling properties. *Holz-Zentralblatt* 111(32):514.
4. Clegg, D.W. and A.A. Collyer. 1986. Mechanical properties of reinforced thermoplastics. Elsevier Applied Science Publishers Ltd., Essex, England.
5. Heebink, B.G. 1967. Wax in particleboards. In: Proceedings, First symposium on particleboard, T. Maloney, ed., Washington State University, Pullman, WA.
6. Hsu, W.E., R.J. Melanson and P.J. Kozak. 1990. The effect of wax type and content on waferboard properties. In: Proceedings, 24th Washington State University international particleboard/composite materials symposium, T. Maloney, ed., Washington State University, Pullman, WA.
7. Krzysik A.M., J.A. Youngquist, R.M. Rowell, J.H. Muehl, P. Chow, and S.R. Shook. 1993. Feasibility of using recycled newspapers as a fiber source for dry-process hardboards. *Forest Products Journal* 43(7/8):53-58.
8. Suzuki H., H. Takahashi, and K. Endoh. 1976. On water absorbability of dry process fiberboard. *Mokuzai Gakkaishi* 22(10):557-63.
9. TAPPI. 1989. TAPPI test methods. Puncture test of containerboard, TAPPI 6803 om-88, vol.2. Technical Association of Pulp and Paper Industry, Atlanta, GA.
10. Winistorfer, P.M., D.L. McFarland, and R.C. Slover. 1992. Evaluating the performance of ten wax formulations and three application rates on properties of oriented strand board. In: Proceedings, 26th Washington State University international particleboard/composite materials symposium, T. Maloney, ed., Washington State University, Pullman, WA.
11. Youngquist J.A., R. Rowell, N. Ross, A.M. Krzysik, and P. Chow. 1990. Effects of steam and acetylated fiber treatment, resin content, and wax on the properties of dry-process hemlock hardboards. In: Proceedings, 1990 joint international conference on processing and utilization of low-grade hardboards and international trade of forest-related products. National Taiwan University, pp. 253-57.